## Indicators

Assessing the quality of language learning environment

| INDICATORS |  |  |  |  |  | Relevant? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Descriptors |  |  |  | EOL |  |
| LANGUAGE AND LANGUAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Number of languages used in school official communication | The official language(s) | +1 | +2 | all the languages of the school community |  |
| 2 | Number of languages "visible" in the school | Less than 5 | 5-9 | 10-15 | More than 15 |  |
| 3 | Number of foreign languages a student can learn within the school official curriculum | 1 | 2 | 3 | More than 3 |  |
| 4 | Number of foreign languages used in bilingual classes / CLIL / CBI classes | 0-1 | 2 | 3 | all the languages taught in school |  |
| 5 | Number of languages different from those in the mainstream curriculum involved in extra-curricular activities (e.g. drama workshops) | 0-1 | 2 | 3 | More than 3 |  |
| VALUING LANGUAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Percentage of staff (not including teachers) who can use a foreign language at a B1 level | Up to 14\% | 15\% - 29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 7 | Percentage of teachers (apart from FL teachers) who have a B2 level in any foreign language | Up to 14\% | 15\% - 29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 8 | Percentage of teachers (non-FL teachers) who use a FL to teach their classes | Up to 10\% | 20\% - 29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 9 | Percentage of students who have an external official certification of language proficiency in any FL | Up to 14\% | 15\% - 29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 10 | Number of training sessions to promote language competences among school staff | 0-1 | 2 | 3 | More than 3 |  |

INTERCULTURALITY

| 11 | Number of international projects the school has been involved in as a coordinator (in the last three years) | 0-1 | 2-3 | 4-5 | More than 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | Number of international projects the school has been involved in as a partner (in the last three years) | 0-1 | 2-3 | 4-5 | More than 5 |  |
| 13 | Percentage of pupils/students involved in international projects (in the last three years) | Up to 14\% | 15\%-29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 14 | Percentage of school staff involved in international projects (in the last 3 years) | Up to 14\% | 15\%-29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 15 | Number of languages used in international projects the school has been involved in (in the last three years) | 1 | 2 | 3 | all the languages of the school community |  |
| ENRICHING CURRICULA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Percentage of classes with regular CLIL lessons | Up to 14\% | 15\%-29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 17 | Number of subjects regularly taught in CLIL | 0 | 1 | $20 \%-49 \%$ of the subjects in the curriculum | More than $50 \%$ of the subjects in the school curriculum |  |
| 18 | Percentage of students using their own ELP | Up to 14\% | 15\%-29\% | 30\%-50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 19 | Percentage of students collaborating regularly with a partner class abroad via online platforms ( E twinning, Tele-tandem, etc.) | Up to 14\% | 15\%-29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 20 | Percentage of teachers who declare teaching in a language sensitive way and/or value multilingualism in their class | Up to 14\% | 15\%-29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |

## EVERYDAY LANGUAGES

| 21 | Number of whole-school projects developed with / for the local community involving languages (in the last three years) | 0-1 | 2 | 3 | More than 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | Percentage of students involved in projects developed with / for the local community involving languages (in the last three years) | Up to 14\% | 15\%-29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 23 | Percentage of staff (including teachers) involved in projects developed with / for the local community involving languages (in the last three years) | Up to 14\% | 15\%-29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 24 | Percentage of learners who benefit from a mobility experience abroad | Up to 14\% | 15\%-29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |
| 25 | Percentage of students trained to use international digital tools (media, gaming, social media, etc.) | Up to 14\% | 15\%-29\% | 30\% - 50\% | More than 50\% |  |

This is an output of the project "Learning environments where modern languages flourish" (2016-2019) of the European Centre for Modern Languages (ECML). The ECML is a Council of Europe institution promoting excellence in language education in its member states. www.ecml.at/roadmapforschools


